

## APPENDICES

### APPENDIX 1a FIRST OCCASION

#### Questionnaire for “JUMAWATA” community based organization.

**Ward Location:**Bungoni in Ilala Ward.

**Municipality:** Ilala

**City:** Dar es salaam

**Project site:**Mtamba village in Kisarawe district-Coastal region.

#### Instructions:

I: Please put a tick in front of the right answer on multiple choice questions.

II: Give explanations in the space provided where necessary.

Full name.....

1: Which sex are you?

- 1) Male
- 2) Female.

2: What kind of disability do you have?

- 1) Physical disability.
- 2) Eye disability
- 3) Deaf
- 4) Mental disability
- 5) Mixed disability

3: How old are you?

- 1) 6-14 years of age
- 2) 15-45 years of age
- 3) Above 45 years

4: What is your education level?

- 1) Below standard seven
- 2) Completed standard seven
- 3) Secondary education
- 4) College education, (certificate, diploma, degree or post graduate).

5: what do you think is the best way of empowering economically people with disabilities in Ilala municipality?

- 1) Entrepreneurial skills and small scale farming
- 2) Continual empowerment because we deserve
- 3) End stigmatization and discrimination.

6: What is your average income per month?

- 1) Below Tsh 50,000/=
- 2) Between Tsh 51,000/= to Tsh 100.000/=
- 3) Above Tsh 100,000/=
- 4) Nothing

7 : Meanwhile where do you live?

- 1) Living with your parents
- 2) Living in camps for people with special needs
- 3) Living with guardian
- 4) Living independently

8: what is your main source of income?

- 1) Petty trader
- 2) Poultry keeping
- 3) Gardening
- 4) Kiosk
- 5) Small scale farming
- 6) Begging
- 7) Nothing

9: Are you ready to participate during project implementation?

- 1) Yes
- 2) No

## APPENDIX 1b : SECOND OCCASION

### Questionnaire for “JUMAWATA” community based organization.

**Ward Location:**Bungoni in Ilala Ward.

**Municipality:** Ilala

**City:** Dar es salaam

**Project site:**Mtamba village in Kisarawe district-Coastal region.

#### Instructions:

I: Please put a tick in front of the right answer on multiple choice questions.

II: Give explanations in the space provided where necessary.

Full name.....

1: What is your gender?

- 1) Male
- 2) Female.

2: What kind of disability do you have?

- 1) Physical disability.
- 2) Eye disability
- 3) Deaf
- 4) Mental disability
- 5) Mixed disability

3: Since you were born how many years have reached now?

- 1) 6-14 years of age
- 2) 15-45 years of age
- 3) Above 45 years

4: What is your highest level of education?

- 1) Below standard seven
- 2) Completed standard seven
- 3) Secondary education
- 4) College education, (certificate, diploma, degree or post graduate).

5: What do you prefer to be the best way to empower you economically?

- 1) Entrepreneurial skills and small scale farming
- 2) Continual empowerment because we deserve
- 3) End stigmatization and discrimination.

6: How much do you earn per month?

- 1) Below Tsh 50,000/=
- 2) Between Tsh 51,000/= to Tsh 100.000/=
- 3) Above Tsh 100,000/=
- 4) Nothing

7: Where do you reside now?

- 1) Living with your parents
- 2) Living in camps for people with special needs
- 3) Living with guardian
- 4) Living independently

8: What makes you earn income?

- 1) Petty trader
- 2) Poultry keeping
- 3) Gardening
- 4) Kiosk
- 5) Small scale farming
- 6) Begging

- 7) Nothing
- 9: Are you willing to participate during project implementation?
- 1) Yes
- 2) No

## APPENDIX 2 COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESMENT

**Table V: First occasion; Type of disability \* Age of respondents Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Age of respondents		Total
		15-45yrs	Above 45yrs	
Physical disability	Count	12	10	22
	Expected Count	10.6	11.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	80.0%	62.5%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	3	1	4
	Expected Count	1.9	2.1	4.0
	% within type of disability	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	20.0%	6.3%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	0	5	5
	Expected Count	2.4	2.6	5.0
	% within type of disability	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	.0%	31.3%	16.1%
Total	Count	15	16	31
	Expected Count	15.0	16.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table VI: Second occasion; Type of disability \* Age of respondents Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Age of respondents		Total
		15-45yrs	Above 45yrs	
Physical disability	Count	12	10	22
	Expected Count	10.6	11.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	54.5%	45.5%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	80.0%	62.5%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	3	1	4
	Expected Count	1.9	2.1	4.0
	% within type of disability	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	20.0%	6.3%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	0	5	5
	Expected Count	2.4	2.6	5.0
	% within type of disability	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	.0%	31.3%	16.1%
Total	Count	15	16	31
	Expected Count	15.0	16.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
	% within age of respondents	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table VII: First occasion; Type of disability \* Status of income Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Status of income per month			Total
		Below Tsh 50,000	Tsh 51,000- Tsh 100,000	Nothing	
Physical disability	Count	15	3	4	22
	Expected Count	17.0	2.1	2.8	22.0
	% within type of disability	68.2%	13.6%	18.2%	100.0%
	% within status of income	62.5%	100.0%	100.0%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	4	0	0	4
	Expected Count	3.1	.4	.5	4.0
	% within type of disability	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within status of income	16.7%	.0%	.0%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	5	0	0	5
	Expected Count	3.9	.5	.6	5.0
	% within type of disability	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within status of income	20.8%	.0%	.0%	16.1%
Total	Count	24	3	4	31
	Expected Count	24.0	3.0	4.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	77.4%	9.7%	12.9%	100.0%
	% within status of income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table VIII: Second occasion; Type of disability \* Status of income Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Status of income per month			Total
		Below Tsh 50,000	Tsh 51,000- Tsh 100,000	Nothing	
Physical disability	Count	16	2	4	22
	Expected Count	17.7	1.4	2.8	22.0
	% within type of disability	72.7%	9.1%	18.2%	100.0%
	% within status of income	64.0%	100.0%	100.0%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	4	0	0	4
	Expected Count	3.2	.3	.5	4.0
	% within type of disability	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within status of income	16.0%	.0%	.0%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	5	0	0	5
	Expected Count	4.0	.3	.6	5.0
	% within type of disability	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within status of income	20.0%	.0%	.0%	16.1%
Total	Count	25	2	4	31
	Expected Count	25.0	2.0	4.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	80.6%	6.5%	12.9%	100.0%
	% within status of income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



**Table IX: First occasion; Type of disability \* Nature of employment Cross tabulation**

[illegible]

**Table X: Second occasion; Type of disability \* Nature of employment Cross tabulation**

[illegible]

**Table XI: First occasion Type of disability \* Education level Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Education of level				Total
		Below std seven	Completed std seven	Secondary education	College educ(cert,dip,postdip or degree)	
Physical disability	Count	5	8	2	7	22
	Expected Count	5.7	8.5	1.4	6.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	22.7%	36.4%	9.1%	31.8%	100.0%
	% within education of respondents	62.5%	66.7%	100.0%	77.8%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	2	1	0	1	4
	Expected Count	1.0	1.5	.3	1.2	4.0
	% within type of disability	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within education of respondents	25.0%	8.3%	.0%	11.1%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	1	3	0	1	5
	Expected Count	1.3	1.9	.3	1.5	5.0
	% within type of disability	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within education of respondents	12.5%	25.0%	.0%	11.1%	16.1%
Total	Count	8	12	2	9	31
	Expected Count	8.0	12.0	2.0	9.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	25.8%	38.7%	6.5%	29.0%	100.0%
	% within education of respondents	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table XII: Second occasion; Type of disability \* Education level Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Education level				Total
		Below std Seven	Completed std Seven	Secondary education	College edu(cert,dip.pg dip or degree)	
Physical disability	Count	4	9	2	7	22
	Expected Count	5.0	9.2	1.4	6.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	18.2%	40.9%	9.1%	31.8%	100.0%
	% within education level	57.1%	69.2%	100.0%	77.8%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	2	1	0	1	4
	Expected Count	.9	1.7	.3	1.2	4.0
	% within type of disability	50.0%	25.0%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within education level	28.6%	7.7%	.0%	11.1%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	1	3	0	1	5
	Expected Count	1.1	2.1	.3	1.5	5.0
	% within type of disability	20.0%	60.0%	.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within education level	14.3%	23.1%	.0%	11.1%	16.1%
Total	Count	7	13	2	9	31
	Expected Count	7.0	13.0	2.0	9.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	22.6%	41.9%	6.5%	29.0%	100.0%
	% within education level	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table XIII: First occasion; Type of disability \* Means of empowering disabled Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Means of empowering disabled			Total
		Entrepreneurial skills and small-scale farming	continual empowerment because we deserve	End stigmatization and discrimination	
Physical disability	Count	18	2	2	22
	Expected Count	17.7	2.1	2.1	22.0
	% within type of disability	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	72.0%	66.7%	66.7%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	3	0	1	4
	Expected Count	3.2	.4	.4	4.0
	% within type of disability	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	12.0%	.0%	33.3%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	4	1	0	5
	Expected Count	4.0	.5	.5	5.0
	% within type of disability	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	16.0%	33.3%	.0%	16.1%
Total	Count	25	3	3	31
	Expected Count	25.0	3.0	3.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	80.6%	9.7%	9.7%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table XIV: Second occasion; Type of disability \* Means of empowering disabled Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Means of empowering disabled			Total
		Entrepreneurial skills and small scale farming	Continual empowerment because we deserve	End stigmatization and discrimination	
Physical disability	Count	18	2	2	22
	Expected Count	17.7	2.1	2.1	22.0
	% within type of disability	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	72.0%	66.7%	66.7%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	3	0	1	4
	Expected Count	3.2	.4	.4	4.0
	% within type of disability	75.0%	.0%	25.0%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	12.0%	.0%	33.3%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	4	1	0	5
	Expected Count	4.0	.5	.5	5.0
	% within type of disability	80.0%	20.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	16.0%	33.3%	.0%	16.1%
Total	Count	25	3	3	31
	Expected Count	25.0	3.0	3.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	80.6%	9.7%	9.7%	100.0%
	% within means of empowering disabled	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table XV: First occasion; Type of disability \* Readiness of participation Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Readiness of participation		Total
		Yes	No	
Physical disability	Count	21	1	22
	Expected Count	20.6	1.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	72.4%	50.0%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	4	0	4
	Expected Count	3.7	.3	4.0
	% within type of disability	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	13.8%	.0%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	4	1	5
	Expected Count	4.7	.3	5.0
	% within type of disability	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	13.8%	50.0%	16.1%
Total	Count	29	2	31
	Expected Count	29.0	2.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	93.5%	6.5%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table XVI: Second occasion Type of disability \* Readiness of participation Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Readiness of participation		Total
		Yes	No	
Physical disability	Count	21	1	22
	Expected Count	20.6	1.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	72.4%	50.0%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	4	0	4
	Expected Count	3.7	.3	4.0
	% within type of disability	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	13.8%	.0%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	4	1	5
	Expected Count	4.7	.3	5.0
	% within type of disability	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	13.8%	50.0%	16.1%
Total	Count	29	2	31
	Expected Count	29.0	2.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	93.5%	6.5%	100.0%
	% within Readiness of participation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Table XVII: First occasion; Type of disability \* Residency of disabled Cross tabulation**

Type of disability		Residency of disabled				Total
		Living with my parents	Living in camps of people with special needs	Living with guardians	Living independently	
Physical disability	Count	4	2	4	12	22
	Expected Count	2.8	1.4	6.4	11.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	100.0%	100.0%	44.4%	75.0%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	0	0	2	2	4
	Expected Count	.5	.3	1.2	2.1	4.0
	% within type of disability	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	.0%	.0%	22.2%	12.5%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	0	0	3	2	5
	Expected Count	.6	.3	1.5	2.6	5.0
	% within type of disability	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	.0%	.0%	33.3%	12.5%	16.1%
Total	Count	4	2	9	16	31
	Expected Count	4.0	2.0	9.0	16.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	12.9%	6.5%	29.0%	51.6%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table XVIII: Second occasion; Type of disability \* Residency of disabled Cross tabulation

Type of disability		Residency of disabled				Total
		Living with my parents	Living in camps of people with special needs	Living with guardians	Living independent	
Physical disability	Count	4	2	4	12	22
	Expected Count	2.8	1.4	6.4	11.4	22.0
	% within type of disability	18.2%	9.1%	18.2%	54.5%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	100.0%	100.0%	44.4%	75.0%	71.0%
Eye disability	Count	0	0	2	2	4
	Expected Count	.5	.3	1.2	2.1	4.0
	% within type of disability	.0%	.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	.0%	.0%	22.2%	12.5%	12.9%
Mixed disability	Count	0	0	3	2	5
	Expected Count	.6	.3	1.5	2.6	5.0
	% within type of disability	.0%	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	.0%	.0%	33.3%	12.5%	16.1%
Total	Count	4	2	9	16	31
	Expected Count	4.0	2.0	9.0	16.0	31.0
	% within type of disability	12.9%	6.5%	29.0%	51.6%	100.0%
	% within Residency of disabled	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

APPENDIX 3: Table:XXXVIII BUDGET


BUDGET CATEGORY	UNIT COST IN TSH	# OF UNITS	AMOUNT IN TSH
<b>I:PERSONNEL</b>			
Training coordinator	50,000	1	50,000
CBO advisor	50,000	1	50,000
Local government Leaders	50,000	2	100,000
CBO leaders	100,000	4	400,000
Administrative assistant	20,000	1	20,000
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>450,000</b>		<b>620,000</b>
<b>II:CONSULTANTS</b>			
Agricultural extension officer	100,000	1	100,000
Business specialist	100,000	1	100,000
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>200,000</b>		<b>200,000</b>
<b>III:TRANSPORTAION</b>			
To and From Project site(Mtamba village)	20,000	80	1,600,000
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>20,000</b>		<b>1,600,000</b>
<b>IV:OTHER DIRECT COSTS</b>			
Office supplies	30,000	1	30,000
Telecommunication	100,000	1	100,000
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>130,000</b>		<b>130,000</b>
<b>V:INPUTS</b>			
Agricultural inputs	500,000	1	500,000
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>500,000</b>		<b>500,000</b>
<b>VI:WORKSHOPS</b>			
Good Agricultural Practices	200,000	1	200,000
Entrepreneurship	200,000	1	200,000
<b>SUB TOTAL</b>	<b>400,000</b>		<b>400,000</b>
<b>TOTAL COST</b>			<b>3,450,000</b>

**Appendix 4: JUMAWATA'S BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The project begun in the year 2000 with two members only, the chairperson Mr.Mzanda Mshamu and Executive Secretary Mr.Kalufya.A.Baruti, these two people they are the ones who came up with this idea of mitigation of poverty for disabled people through agricultural activities. In year 2001 the number raised to five members, the same year this group of five people started to meet at Mnazi Mmoja grounds in Ilala Municipality in Dar es Salaam every Saturday mainly for mobilizing other people with disabilities, which continued up to now. In his evangelistic work, Reverend Charles of Presbyterian Church met them at Mnazi Mmoja grounds, besides ministering the word of God (gospel); he introduced the idea of registering a CBO. Every step made was of enormous success; in 2003, the project was registered as a CBO with a registration number of 140474 cap 213 and managed to get an office in Buguruni-Ilala Bungoni where they are now, the number rose up 100 members the same year. In 2004 the CBO secured a chunk of land of 910 hectares in Mtamba village-Kisarawe district in Coast region.Untill now there about 200 members, in the

year 2004 each member was given five hectares, some of them were able to plant maize and harvested an average of 200 kilograms of maize per hectare each.

**JUMAWATA'S ORGANIZATION CHART**



PROJECT TITLE:  
USING AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE  
INCOME AMONG PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES: THE CASE  
OF ILALA WARD, DAR ES SALAAM.

NAME : NICODEMUS J MPEMBA

## COMMUNITY PROFILE

- The Ilala Municipality borders Indian Ocean to the East, the Coastal region to the West, Kinondoni Municipality to the North and Temeke Municipality to the South.
- The Municipality has a total area of 210 squares Km<sup>2</sup>.
- The Municipality is that it is divided into 3 divisions, 22 wards, 65 sub-wards, 9 villages, and 37 hamlets
- The total population of people in Ilala municipality was 634,924 out of which males and female were 50.5 % and 49.5 % respectively.
- In year 2004 4% of the total population were disabled people.
- In the year 2004 poverty rate was 13%, unemployment rate was 40.5%, adult Literacy rate was 89%, primary school enrolment rate was 87% and life expectancy for males and females were 49 years and 51 years respectively

## COMMUNITY NEEDS ASSESSMENT (CNA)

- The main objective of the community needs assessment was to undertake a situational analysis of the community of people with disabilities in Ilala Ward.

## CNA-Research design

- **Cross-sectional design** method was used during CNA
- **Stratified random sampling** method was used
- **A sample of 31** respondents out of a population of 310 people was used
- Two different **self-administered questionnaires** were administered in two different occasions to determine the **reliability** of the results.

## CNA-Data analysis & Results

- Data analysis was done by using **SPSS**.
- **Means & Std deviations** of the first & second occasion were correlated.
- Results showed the **means & Std deviation** were more less the same.
- Overall, in both occasions most of people with disabilities tend to have an average income below **Tsh 50, 000** per month, with high proportion of people with physical disabilities.


## CNA-Results

- Results of the first and second occasion were compared just to determine the reliability of results. For example results for Kind of disability versus Income are shown below;




## CNA-results..

Kind of disability vs Income per month in the first occasion



## CNA results...

Kind of disability vs income per month in the second occasion



## RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CNA.

- o There was a need of;
  - i) building capacity on **entrepreneurship**,
  - ii) building capacity on **good agricultural practices**,
  - iii) **increasing agricultural production** at Mtamba farm,
  - iv) **mobilizing resources** for agricultural activities,
  - v) Establish a **reliable marketing system** of agricultural products ,
  - vi) preparing a **five-year strategic plan** as a resource mobilizing tool.

## PROBLEM STATEMENT

- o Persistence of income poverty among people with disabilities in Ilala ward was the major community concern.
- o Main causes were
  - i) **Limited job opportunities**,
  - ii) **low education level**,
  - iii) **poor business skills**,
  - iv) **inadequate business opportunities**,
  - v) **inadequate vocational trainings**,
  - vi) **poor working tools and technology**,
  - vii) **inadequate capital** and
  - viii) **lack of ready access to credits**.

## EMPIRICAL LITRATURE REVIEW

- o The experience generated from Dodoma Microfinance Project for Disabled People (DMPDP) has proved that disabled people can live economically independent lives once provided with opportunities.
- o The project was **targeting disabled people, parents and guardians** of children with disabilities and adults
- o The project was **offering microfinance services and entrepreneurship training to clients** (Ginason, 2003).
- o However, **mainstreaming of disabled people into economic empowerment programmes involves strategic and flexible entrepreneurship trainings and financial products development activities**.

## THEORETICAL LITRATURE REVIEW

- o **Disability is defined** as the long term impairment leading to social and economic disadvantages, denial of rights and limited opportunity to play an equal part in the life of the community (DFID, 2000).
- o **The impairment** may be physical, sensory, intellectual or a mental condition.
- o Disability is a dimension of poverty which on the whole remains neglected.
- o 'Poverty is not simply the consequence of a lack of resources.
- o Some people are unable to access existing resources because of who they are what they believe or where they live.
- o Such discrimination is a form of exclusion and a cause of poverty'. (DFID 2000e).

## CED PROJECT GOAL & OBJECTIVES(

- o Project goal was to empower people with disabilities in Ilala ward that can live economically independent lives.
- o Project objectives were;
  - i) Increase Agricultural production at Mtamba village farm from an average of one and a half tones to three tones of sun dried cassava chips per hector by 2006.
  - ii) Establish a marketing mechanism of selling raw and sun dried cassava chips by 2006.
  - iii) Increase number of trainings session on good agricultural practices and entrepreneurship from one training package per year to six each by 2006.

## IMPLEMENTATION-activities

- o Activities undertaken were;
  - i) Identify people with disabilities in Ilala
  - ii) Identify active people with disabilities who could participate in agricultural activities

## IMPLEMENTATION-activities..

- iv) Training on good agricultural practices
  - a) Timely weeding
  - b) Proper spacing
  - c) Use of fertilizers
  - d) Timely planting
  - e) Agro processing
  - f) Use of pesticides

## IMPLEMENTATION-activities...


- v) Training on entrepreneurship
  - a) Business planning
  - b) Financial management and accounting
  - c) Organizational management
  - d) Market searching

## PARTICIPATORY MONITORING

- o Insiders and outsiders were involved
- o Interview using guide questions was used,
- o The whole population of 50 people was used
- o There were 9 questions to be filled with people with disabilities only.
- o Each question had an indicator to be monitored.
- o Data analysis was done using **SPSS**
- o **Correlation** of results was determined.


## PARTICIPATORY MONITORING RESULTS

Kind of disabilities vs application of knowledge on GAP




## PARTICIPATORY MONITORING RESULTS.....

### Kind of disabilities vs application of knowledge on entrepreneurship




## PARTICIPATORY MONITORING RESULTS.....

### Kind of disabilities vs expenditures




## PARTICIPATORY MONITORING RESULTS.....

### Kind of disabilities vs number of hectares planted cassava.



## PARTICIPATORY MONITORING RESULTS.....

### Kind of disabilities vs Nature of cassava sold




## Participatory evaluation

- o **Summative evaluation** was done to see if the CED objectives were met or not.
- o Under **formative evaluation**, the National Disability Policy (2003) whose overall focus is equalization of opportunities for people with disabilities was adhered to by both outsiders and insiders
- o **Interview using guide questions** was used.
- o The whole population of 50 people was used.
- o Data were analysed using **SPSS**.
- o **Correlation** of results was done


## Participatory evaluation results

- o Kind of disability vs quantity of sun-dried cassava chips sold




### Participatory evaluation results....

Kind of disability vs amount of money obtained from selling cassava




### Participatory evaluation results....

Kind of disability vs training package on GAP.



### Participatory evaluation results....

Kind of disability vs training package on entrepreneurship



### Sustainability

- The project seems to be sustainable because of the capacity built to people with disabilities.
- Availability of a chunk of land of about 910 hectares in mtamba village-kisarawe district.
- Commitment shown by people with disabilities.


### Conclusion

- For sometimes now development organizations and communities at large have been looking at disabled people as individual who cannot live economically independent lives. This perception has remained in people mindset for generations now.
- However, People with disabilities can live economically independent lives once provided opportunities


### Recommendations










- Development organizations, government and communities should;
  - i) perceive people with disabilities as people who can live economically independent lives once provided with opportunities & reliable resources.
  - ii) continue mainstreaming people with disabilities in development agendas.

THANK YOU



ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	October 11		March 1		July 21		December 11	
				8/29	11/7	1/16	3/27	6/5	8/14	10/23	1/1
1	Identify people with disabilities in Ilala ward	10 days	Fri 12/16/05								
2	Identify active people with disabilities who could parti	10 days	Fri 12/30/05								
3	Training on good agricultural activities	30 days	Fri 1/13/06								
4	Training on entrepreneurship	30 days	Fri 2/24/06								
5	Participatory monitoring	120 days	Fri 4/7/06								
6	Participatory evaluation	40 days	Fri 9/22/06								



Project: gphant final paper Date: Fri 1/16/04	Task		Milestone		External Tasks	
	Split		Summary		External Milestone	
	Progress		Project Summary		Deadline	

**JUMUIYA YA UCHUMI NA MAENDELEO YA WALEMAVU TANZANIA**  
**(JUMAWATA)**

**P.O.BOX 46303, DAR ES SALAAM-TANZANIA**

**MOBILE # +255 787 101 756, +255 787 791313, +255 787 654977**

12/12/2005

THE PROGRAM DIRECTOR,  
COMMUNITY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT,  
SOUTHERN NEWHAMPSHIRE UNIVERSITY AND THE  
OPEN UNIVERSITY OF TANZANIA,  
P.O.BOX.23409  
DAR ES SALAAM,  
TANZANIA.

Dear Sir/Madam,

**RE: LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE OF MR.NICODEMUS.J.MPEMBA  
CED STUDENT AT SNHU&OUT.**

Kindly refer to the headline above.

This is to inform you that the mentioned student on the headline above has been accepted to work with us as a Technical Advisor to our highly ambitious Community Based Organization. During this period, we are expecting that the Technical Advisor is going to offer his services to the Organization for free. The Technical Advisor will be highly involved on re-designing a project, implementation, monitoring and evaluation at our on-going Cassava farming project at Mtamba farm in Kisarawe District-Coast Region.


Once again we warmly welcome **Mr.Nicodemus.Mpemba** to work with us.

Thank you in advance.

Sincerely Yours

Chairman,

  
Mr.Msham Mzanda



CC: Executive Secretary.

CC: Planning Secretary.

CC: CBO Advisor.